

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/072,368	MARTINO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	San-ming Hui	1617	

All Participants:

(1) San-ming Hui.

(2) Mr. Andrea Dorigo, attorney of record.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Dorigo informed the Examiner that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed November 15, 2005

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 5/30/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature) _____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)